Bacterial dynamics and the prevalence of foodborne pathogens associated with avocado fruit *Persea americana* Mill.

C Coetzee, S Duvenage, E du Plessis and L Korsten*

*Corresponding author Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, University of Pretoria, Private Bag X20, Hatfield 0028, SOUTH-AFRICA E-mail: lise.korsten@up.ac.za

ABSTRACT

The global demand for fresh produce, ready-to-eat food and the associated increase in foodborne disease outbreaks have motivated the industry to shift their focus to compliance, surveillance and preventative approaches to reduce the risk of product contamination. The microbiological quality and safety of avocado fruit from a major processing facility was evaluated over a two-year period (in and out of season). Hygiene indicator bacteria (Escherichia coli and coliforms) as well as Enterobacteriaceae were enumerated from 350 washed and unwashed avocado fruit (Persea americana Mill). The prevalence of foodborne pathogens (Listeria spp., including *L. monocytogenes* and *Salmonella* spp.) was also determined using the 3M[™] Molecular Detection System. Presumptive positive Listeria and Salmonella isolate identities were confirmed using matrix assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Isolates were characterised using virulence gene (stx1, stx2 and eae) testing for E. coli, multilocus sequence typing for E. coli and Salmonella spp., as well as serotyping for Salmonella spp. Enterobacteriaceae was the dominant family isolated from the avocado fruit surface, representing between 72% and 92% of bacterial microbiome in season (June to Sept/October) and out of season fruit (December to February) during 2016 and 2017. The Enterobacteriaceae numbers on the in season avocado carpoplane decreased after fruit washing with oxiacid. In contrast the numbers in the fruit pulp increased after washing. The coliform numbers on both the carpoplane and pulp increased after fruit washing. The presence of E. coli decreased in both the carpoplane and fruit pulp following pre-washing of the fruit, while E. coli was isolated from 14.1% of the total number of samples. Salmonella spp. presence accounted for 2.4% of the total number of samples. L. monocytogenes was not detected in any of the avocado fruit samples during both sampling periods. Virulence genes (*stx1*, *stx2* and *eae*) were not detected in any of the *E. coli* isolates. The data indicated that 33.3% of the E. coli isolates belonged to ST1308 (n=8), 29.2% to ST7139 (n=7); 25% to ST1727 (n=6) and 4.2% (n=1) to ST5834; ST3580 and ST1146.

Keywords: Persea americana; avocado; microbial dynamics; Salmonella spp.; Escherichia coli

INTRODUCTION

Avocado is cultivated throughout the world with Mexico being the main producer (Affleck, 1986; Galindo-Tovar *et al.*, 2008). To put this in context, in 2014 Mexico produced an annual average of 1.5 million tonnes of avocado, representing 55% of the global volumes, but only export 38% of this to mainly the United States of America (USA) (Food and Agriculture Organization, 2017). South Africa is a small player in the global arena, with only 82 800 tonnes produced in 2016 (DAFF, 2017), with 51% of the total crop exported mainly to the European markets (FAO, 2017). Although the South African avocado industry is primarily export-oriented, the local demand has consistently increased over the last few decades (DAFF, 2015).

Similarly an increasing number of foodborne disease outbreaks linked to consumption of fresh produce contaminated with the potential foodborne pathogens have been reported (Beuchat, 2002; Gomba *et al.*, 2016; Kotzekidou, 2013). Several studies indicated that enteric pathogens have been detected on fresh produce (Beuchat, 2002; Lindow and Brandl, 2003). *Salmonella enterica, Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas syringe* have the ability to colonize a wide variety of fresh produce and is not limited to a specific plant type (Beuchat, 2002; Brandl and Mandrell, 2002; Lindow and Brandl, 2003).



Food safety has therefore been identified at domestic, regional and international levels as a public health priority.

In South Africa however, statistics are lacking due to incidences being underreported since most diarrhoeal illnesses are resolved within 24 to 48 hours without any medical intervention (Smith et al., 2007). A recent outbreak of *Listeria monocytogenes* in South Africa has resulted in more than 201 deaths and 1027 people affected (Situation report, 2018). This has shifted the attention to preventative approaches and improved surveillance systems. Since 2000, there have been 8 outbreaks associated with avocado fruit (CDC, 2016). It has been noted due to the number of outbreaks reported that L. monocytogenes are more frequently associated with avocado outbreaks. These pathogens are able to not only survive but also reproduce in fresh produce such as avocado stored at temperatures between 4 and 37 °C (Ma et al., 2016; Kirezieva et al., 2015; Scallan et al., 2011). Data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2014) indicates that Salmonella has a higher occurrence percentage with 91% in comparison to that of E. coli and Listeria spp. outbreaks with 7% and 2%, respectively.

Microbial biomes are a collection of microorganisms representing a complex, unique and complementary ecosystem that reflect the dynamic interactions of microorganisms in different habitats being influenced by environmental conditions such as temperature, humidity, pH, water activity, ultraviolet radiation and organic material (International Society for Microbial Ecology, 2013). Determining the microbiome of fresh produce will therefore contribute towards improved understanding of the persistence of human pathogens within the agricultural environment (Telias et al., 2011; Ottesen et al., 2013). The microbial ecology of fresh avocado fruit thus remains poorly understood (Ottesen et al., 2013). According to our knowledge, we are not aware of any studies that described the natural microbiota of the avocado carpoplane.

The objective of the study was to quantify the total aerobic bacteria using coliforms and Enterobacteriaceae as indicator microbes of washed and unwashed avocado fruit samples and to determine the possible prevalence of *Listeria* spp. and *Salmonella* spp. using the $3M^{TM}$ Molecular Detection System. The virulence genes and multilocus sequence types were determined for *E. coli* and *Salmonella* spp., respectively.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Sampling site

Avocado fruit were collected from a Food Safety System Certification (FSSC) 22000 certified processing facility in Gauteng, South Africa.

Sample collection

Avocado fruit, originating from one of South Africa's largest avocado producers in the Mpumalanga Province, were collected at the point of receival and after washing at a Food Safety System Certification certified processing facility in Gauteng, South Africa. Avocado fruit were washed in a 10 000 ppm byosan sanitiser solution for 10 minutes and then placed into a dip tank for an additional 10 minutes containing 1 000 ppm oxiacid. After washing, fruit were transferred into a high-risk preparation area for further processing.

Ripe avocado fruit were collected weekly at receiving, and again after the oxiacid wash step at the processing facility. Samples were collected in and out of season during 2016 and 2017. In total, twenty avocado fruit (n=20) were sampled weekly using a random selection approach. Ten avocado fruit were sampled before washing of the fruit at the receiving point and an additional ten fruit were sampled after the oxiacid drenching. Sampled avocado fruit were placed in brown bags, labelled and kept below 5 °C during transportation to the Plant Pathology Laboratory of the University of Pretoria, South Africa, for laboratory analysis within 24 hours.

Samples were taken over a nine-week period in season (during avocado season June to Sept/Oct) and an eight-week period out of season (December to February). One sample consisted of two avocado fruit which were used for carpoplane processing and 25 grams of peeled avocado fruit for pulp processing. Twenty avocado fruit (n=20) were sampled weekly, in total 180 samples were collected in season and 160 samples out of season.

Microbiome sample processing Avocado fruit surface

Individual avocado samples (two avocados each) were submerged in 500 mL of 0.25 x Ringer's solution containing 0.02% Tween 80 (Associated Chemical Enterprises, Johannesburg, South Africa). The samples were sonicated for 5 min using an ultrasonic bath (Eumax, Labotec, Johannesburg, South Africa). This process assists with the detachment of all microbes from the avocado fruit surfaces. After sonication, the liquid from the samples were filtered using a 0.2 mm sterile nitrocellulose membrane (Sartorius Stedim, Biotech, Germany). The filters were aseptically cut into smaller pieces, added to a 9 mL sterilized 0.1% peptone buffered water solution (Biolab Diagnostics, Johannesburg, South Africa). The tubes were vortexed vigorously to remove the organisms from the filter membrane. The samples were centrifuged (Hermle Z233 M-2, Lasec, Johannesburg, South Africa) for 7 minutes at 10 000 rpm.

DNA was extracted from the samples using Powersoil[®] DNA Isolation Kit following the manufacturer's instructions (Mo Bio Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA); extractions were then subjected to 16S rDNA amplification using the universal primers F-27 (5'-AGAGTTTGGATCCTGGCTCAG -3') and R-1492 (5'-ACGGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT -3') (Weisburg *et al.*, 1991). Amplicons were visualized in a 1% agarose gel stained with SYBR green dye (Fig. 1). A 1 kb molecular marker called Hyperladder I (Bioline, Celtic Molecular Diagnostics [Pty] Ltd, Cape Town, South Africa) was included for size estimation. The DNA concentration of each isolate was determined using the Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technology, Johannesburg, South Africa).



97

A 25 µl reaction mixture containing 5 µl genomic DNA, 0.3 µl of 16S F and 16S R primers, 12.5 µl Dream TAQ and 6.9 µl sterilised water was added to make up a final volume of 25 µl. The PCR amplification was carried out on a T100 Thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, South Africa) with amplification conditions described. Initial denaturation was at 95 °C for two minutes, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, primer annealing at 58 °C for 45 s and primer extension at 72 °C for 1 minute 30 s, followed by a final extension of 7 minutes at 72 °C. Agarose gel electrophoresis was performed on 1% agarose gel with TAE buffer containing 0.01% Ethidium Bromide in order to visualise the samples. The gel was run for two hours at 95 volts. Bacterial and fungal diversity assay bTEFAP®20k illumine and bioinformatics analysis to be performed on samples.

Hygiene indicator bacteria (Escherichia coli, coliform) and Enterobacteriaceae enumeration Avocado fruit surface

Individual avocado samples (two avocados each) were submerged in 500 mL Ringer's solution containing 0.02% Tween 80 (Associated Chemical Enterprises, Johannesburg, South Africa). The samples were sonicated for 5 min using an ultrasonic bath (Eumax, Labotec, Johannesburg, South Africa). This process assists with the detachment of all microbes from the avocado fruit surfaces. Volume displacement (vd) was documented for each of the samples and converted to area (cm^2) using the following equation (A = 4.84 [(vd) 1/3]² (De Jager, 1999; Collignon and Korsten, 2010). After sonication, the liquid from the samples were filtered using a 2 µm sterile (Sartorius Stedim, Biotech, Germany). The filters were aseptically cut into smaller pieces, added to a 9 mL sterilized peptone buffered water solution (Biolab Diagnostics, Johannesburg). Tenfold serial dilutions were prepared from the samples in PBW and total bacterial counts, Enterobacteriaceae and hygiene indicator bacteria counts were determined using standard microbiological analysis. The samples in BPW were enriched by incubating the samples at 37 °C for 24 hrs. Bacterial counts were recorded and data was converted to log (x+1) cfu/g for avocado pulp samples and log cfu/ cm² for avocado surface samples.

Avocado pulp

Twenty-five grams of the avocado pulp was weighed in a sterile stomacher bag, mixed with 225 mL of $3M^{\text{TM}}$ Peptone Buffered Water (PBW) and homogenized for 30 seconds using a stomacher (400 Circulator, Steward Stomacher, England). Tenfold dilutions were prepared from homogenized samples in PBW and bacterial counts determined as described above. Bacterial counts were recorded and data was converted to log (x+1) cfu/g for avocado pulp samples and log cfu/cm² for avocado surface samples.

Selective enrichment for *Escherichia coli, Salmonella* **spp. and** *Listeria* **spp. detection** For enrichment purposes for detection of *E. coli* and

Salmonella spp. the macerated avocado fruit surface and pulp samples in 3M buffered peptone water were incubated overnight at 37 °C. Subsequently 1 mL of the enrichment broth was pelleted by centrifugation at 10 000 x g for 10 minutes. A further 1 mL of each respective subsample was added to Listeria broth (3M), supplemented with modified Listeria broth supplement (3M), and incubated overnight at 37 °C. The homogenized samples in BPW were enriched by incubating the samples at 37 °C for 24 hrs.

Following enrichment, *E. coli* was isolated by streaking a loopful of each of the samples onto Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB) agar. *Salmonella* spp. were isolated by streaking a loopfull of the enriched samples onto Brilliance[™] Salmonella agar (SBM) and Xy-lose Lysine Deoxycolate (XLD) agar. Listeria positive samples were streaked onto Oxford-Listeria selective medium for isolation of typical Listeria colonies. All media were from Oxoid Ltd, Basingstoke Hampshire, UK.

The 24h 3M BPW and 3M *Listeria* specific enrichment broths were additionally used to determine the presence/absence of *E. coli* O157 (including H7), *Salmonella* spp. and *Listeria* spp. using the respective 3M Molecular Detection System kits according to the manufacture's specifications: 3M Molecular Detection Assay *Salmonella* (AOAC RI Certificate 031208, April 2012), 3M Molecular Detection Assay *E. coli* O157 (including H7) (AOAC RI Certificate 071202, July 2012) and 3M Molecular Detection Assay *Listeria* (AOAC RI Certificate 081203, August 2012).

Confirmation of presumptive *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella, Listeria* isolate identities using Matrix Assisted Ionisation Time of Flight

Purified presumptive positive isolates from the selective chromogenic media were transferred in duplicate directly to the MALDI-TOF steel polished target plate (Bruker, Bremen, Germany) and subsequently analysed using the Bruker MicroFlex LT MALDI-TOF in conjunction with Bruker Biotyper Automation Software and library. Duplicate score values (SV) were recorded: a SV score higher than 1.9 indicated species identification, a score of between 1.7 – 1.9 indicated genus identification, and a score below 1.7 indicated no identification. An isolate was considered correctly identified by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry if the score was above 2, a score of above 1.9 indicated genus identification and a score above 1.7

Bacterial characterization

DNA was extracted from each of the bacterial isolates using Quick-gDNATM MiniPrep DNA isolation kit following the manufacturer's instructions. The presence of enterohaemorrhagic *E. coli* virulence factors (*stx1*, *stx2* and *eae* genes) were determined according to Omar and Barnard (2010). Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) was conducted based on the Achtman 7 gene scheme for *E. coli* (Wirth *et al.*, 2006) and for *Salmonella* (Achtman *et al.*, 2013).





NORDOX 86% WG

Reg Nr. L8077 Wet Nr. 36 van 1947

Die nuwe generasie **ROOI koper** swamdoder wat KLEEF!





Waarom Nordox oorweeg vir u siektebestuur program?

- Wêreldwye gebruik (Europa, VSA, Australië)
- Onsuiwerhede laer as FAO spesilikasies
- ECOCERT & OMRI organiese sertifisering
- Gemoedsrus op uitvoerprodukte (EuroGap)
- Minder koper per hektaar
- Uitstekende spuittenk suspensie
- Uitmuntende reënvastheid
- 🗢 Logistieke voordeel hoë konsentrasie
- Koste effektief

Registrasie op die volgende gewasse

Sitrus, Avokado's, Aartappels, Tamaties, Boontjies, Aarbeie, Neute, Olywe, Kersies, Mango's, Naartjies, Perskes, Wyn-en tafeldruiwe.







012 345 3201

GEREGISTREER DEUR



Aschenbergstraat 18. Chamdor www.avima.co.za





Figure 1: Microbial dynamics associated with the avocado fruit carpoplane in season and out of season.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed on log CFU/cm² avocado surface counts and log CFU/g avocado pulp counts. Data were subjected to an appropriate analysis of variance (ANOVA).

RESULTS

Microbiome analysis

The Enterobacteriaceae was the dominant family isolated from the avocado fruit surface, ranging between 72% - 92% of bacterial families identified in the microbiome in season (June to Sept/October) and out of season fruit (December to February) during 2016 and 2017 (Fig.1).

Bacterial analysis

Avocado fruit surface

The results obtained for coliforms and Enterobacteriaceae from the unwashed and washed fruit are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The mean coliform count from unwashed fruit out of season was significantly higher compared to unwashed samples in season (p < 0.0008). Similar to unwashed samples, the mean coliform count on washed samples out of season was significantly higher compared to washed samples in season (p < 0.0008). The mean Enterobacteriaceae count on both washed and unwashed fruit surfaces out of season was significantly higher compared to samples in season (p < 0.0030). Coliforms were enumerated from 100% of the samples in and out of season, however it was not possible to enumerate Enterobacteriaceae for all the samples in season.

Avocado pulp

The results obtained for coliforms and Enterobacteriaceae on unwashed and washed fruit pulp were summarized in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. Mean coliform counts on unwashed fruit pulp samples out of season were significantly higher when compared to unwashed samples in season (p<0.0001). Similar to unwashed samples, the mean coliform count on washed samples out of season are significantly higher when compared to washed samples in season (p<0.0001). The mean Enterobacteriaceae count on both washed and unwashed fruit pulp in season were significantly higher compared to samples out of season were significantly higher compared to samples out of season were significantly higher compared to samples out of season were significantly higher compared to samples out of season (p<0.0030).

Prevalence of *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* spp. and *Listeria* spp. (including *L. monocytogenes*) on avocado fruit surfaces and in the fruit pulp

E. coli were isolated during both seasons, with 4.1% (n=7) isolated for unwashed fruit surfaces and 4.7% (n=8) isolated from washed fruit surfaces. No *Salmonella* spp. were isolated from the fruit carpoplane during the season, however out of season *Salmonella* spp. were isolated from unwashed fruit surfaces from 1.3% avocado fruit samples (n=1). No *Listeria* spp. were isolated from fruit surfaces during both seasons.

E. coli were only isolated from in season fruit pulp with 3.5% (n=6) accounted for *E. coli* on unwashed fruit and 1.8% (n=3) from washed fruit. No *E. coli* were isolated from pulp out of season. A 1.8% (n=3) of *Salmonella* spp. were isolated from pulp during the season. No *Listeria* spp. were isolated from pulp during both seasons.



Table 1: Total coliforms and Enterobacteriaceae counts on unwashed avocado carpoplane over both seasons.

Avocado carpoplane – unwashed samples								
Week	No of samples (% coliforms)	Total coliforms (log CFU/ml)		No of samples	Total Enterobacteriaceae (log CFU/ml)			
		Min-Max	Mean ^a	(% Enterobacteriaceae)	Min-Max	Mean ^a		
In season								
1	5 (100)	TNTC ^b		5 (100)	3.85-5.26			
2	5 (100)	4.15-5.14		5 (100)	3.98-5.38			
3	5 (100)	1.88-3.83		5 (100)	3.41-5.40			
4	5 (100)	3.56-5.05		5 (100)	3.95-4.16			
5	5 (100)	1.89-3.87		3 (60)	2.71-5.90			
6	5 (100)	3.67-4.03		5 (100)	3.90-4.51			
7	5 (100)	2.43-3.48		2 (40)	1.89-2.43			
8	5 (100)	3.55-3.99		3 (60)	2.66-3.70			
9	5 (100)	2.60-4.10		4 (80)	2.74-4.15			
Total	45		3.5806 _в	37		3.29566667 _в		
Out of season								
1	5 (100)	4.15-5.16		5 (100)	3.59-4.19			
2	5 (100)	1.89-3.80		5 (100)	3.73-4.18			
3	5 (100)	3.83-5.26		5 (100)	3.75-3.87			
4	5 (100)	4.98-5.28		5 (100)	4.19-4.51			
5	5 (100)	4.16-4.25		5 (100)	3.76-4.45			
6	5 (100)	3.31-3.80		5 (100)	3.32-3.66			
7	5 (100)	3.60-3.67		5 (100)	3.48-4.36			
8	5 (100)	3.52-4.09		5 (100)	3.92-4.22			
Total	40		4.098425	40		3.97455		

^a Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (Coliforms: *p*<0.0008; Enterobacteriaceae: *p*<0.0030) ^b TNTC, too numerous to count

Avocado carpoplane – washed samples								
Week	No of samples (% coliforms)	Total coliforms (log CFU/ml)		No of samples	Total Enterobacteriaceae (log CFU/ml)			
		Min-Max	Mean ^a	(% Enterobacteriaceae)	Min-Max	Mean ^a		
In season								
1	5 (100)	TNTC ^b		5 (100)	6.22-7.14			
2	4 (80)	4.18-5.23		3 (60)	3.46-4.49			
3	5 (100)	2.75-4.24		3 (60)	3.37-4.40			
4	5 (100)	3.86-5.09		3 (60)	3.20-3.72			
5	5 (100)	2.37-3.68		3 (60)	3.55-4.37			
6	5 (100)	2.43-3.80		3 (60)	2.67-4.35			
7	2 (40)	2.82-2.83		2 (40)	2.90-3.89			
8	5 (100)	2.36-4.07		4 (80)	3.74-5.50			
9	4 (80)	1.90-3.54		3 (60)	2.08-3.06			
Total	40		3.0503375 _в	29		2.70545556 _в		
Out of season								
1	5 (100)	3.85-4.31		5 (100)	3.38-4.24			
2	5 (100)	3.74-3.82		5 (100)	3.90-4.45			
3	5 (100)	4.03-4.19		5 (100)	3.47-3.70			
4	5 (100)	3.91-4.15		5 (100)	3.94-4.43			
5	5 (100)	3.62-4.03		5 (100)	4.339-4.60			
6	5 (100)	4.16-4.25		5 (100)	3.75-4.53			
7	5 (100)	3.82-3.95		5 (100)	4.14-4.44			
8	5 (100)	3.94-4.23		5 (100)	4.02-4.06			
Total	40		4.00605 _A	40		4.107175 _A		

Table 2: Total coliforms and Enterobacteriaceae counts on washed avocado carpoplane over both seasons.

^a Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (Coliforms: p<0.0008; Enterobacteriaceae: p<0.0030)

^b TNTC, too numerous to count



Table 3: Total coliforms and Enterobacteriaceae counts on unwashed avocado pulp over both seasons.

Avocado pulp – unwashed								
Week	No of samples (% coliforms)	Total coliforms (log CFU/g)		No of samples	Total Enterobacteriaceae (log CFU/g)			
		Min-Max	Mean ^a	(% Enterobacteriaceae)	Min-Max	Mean ^a		
In season	I							
1	5 (100)	1.28-1.58		4 (80)	1.54-2.23			
2	2 (40)	0.78-2.93		4 (80)	2.59-2.65			
3	4 (80)	0.41-1.41		5 (100)	2.41-2.89			
4	0 (0)	-		5 (100)	1.54-2.71			
5	1 (20)	0.3		0 (0)	-			
6	5 (100)	0.15-0.34		5 (100)	2.78-3.19			
7	5 (100)	0.51-0.92		5 (100)	1.97-2.55			
8	3 (60)	0.15-0.34		5 (100)	1.90-2.40			
9	5 (100)	0.20-1.57		5 (100)	2.63-2.75			
Total	30		0.535151 _в	33		2.07848178 _A		
Out of season								
1	5 (100)	1.23-1.84		5 (100)	0.70-0.90			
2	5 (100)	1.71-2.18		4 (80)	0.85-1.43			
3	3 (60)	0.41-1.18		3 (60)	1.66-2.08			
4	5 (100)	0.15-1.37		2 (40)	2.11-2.13			
5	3 (60)	0.87-1.20		3 (60)	1.94-2.17			
6	5 (100)	0.88-1.43		5 (100)	1.43-1.92			
7	5 (100)	0.97-1.18		5 (100)	1.43-2.18			
8	3 (60)	1.22-1.86		5 (100)	0.95-1.81			
Total	34		1.114705	32		1.29597925		

^a Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (Coliforms: p < 0.0001; Enterobacteriaceae: p < 0.0030)

Avocado pulp – washed									
Week	No of samples (% coliforms)	Total coliforms (log CFU/g)		No of samples	Total Enterobacteriaceae (log CFU/g)				
		Min-Max	Mean ^a	(% Enterobacteriaceae)	Min-Max	Mean ^a			
In season									
1	5 (100)	0.45-3.64		5 (100)	1.32-3.74				
2	4 (80)	0.90-1.20		4 (80)	2.40-2.63				
3	4 (80)	0.45-0.68		5 (100)	2.09-3.13				
4	4 (80)	0.51-0.79		5 (100)	2.50-2.83				
5	0 (0)	-		3 (60)	2.26-3.07				
6	3 (60)	0.38-1.38		5 (100)	2.63-3.17				
7	5 (100)	0.08-0.64		5 (100)	1.76-2.56				
8	3 (60)	0.38-3.36		5 (100)	1.90-2.38				
9	4 (80)	0.41-1.56		3 (60)	1.43-1.99				
Total	32		0.7012 _в	40		2.20170844 _A			
Out of season									
1	5 (100)	1.88-2.88		5 (100)	1.32-2.57				
2	5 (100)	1.74-2.21		5 (100)	0.95-2.21				
3	5 (100)	0.41-2.23		5 (100)	1.84-2.11				
4	5 (100)	1.22-1.60		3 (60)	1.93-2.22				
5	5 (100)	0.48-1.30		5 (100)	1.46-2.22				
6	5 (100)	1.05-0.57		5 (100)	1.91-2.28				
7	5 (100)	1.22-1.49		5 (100)	1.18-2.19				
8	5 (100)	1.27-1.68		5 (100)	1.81-2.08				
Total	40		1.4859 _A	38		1.8132125 _в			

 Table 4: Total coliforms and Enterobacteriaceae counts on washed avocado pulp over both seasons.

^a Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (Coliforms: *p*<0.0001; Enterobacteriaceae: *p*<0.0030)



Virulence genes in *E. coli* isolates

None of the enterohaemorrhagic *E. coli* virulence genes (*stx1*, *stx2* and *eae*) were detected in any of the *E. coli* isolates.

Multilocus sequence typing

The *E. coli* isolates used for virulence gene testing were used for MLST characterization to determine which ST type each isolate belonged to. The data indicated that 33.3% belonged to ST1308 (n=8), 29.2% to ST7139 (n=7); 25% to ST1727 (n=6) and 4.2% (n=1) to ST5834; ST3580 and ST1146.

DISCUSSION

The recent Listeria foodborne disease outbreak in South Africa emphasized the need for determining the microbiological quality and safety of fresh produce, including ready-to-eat products in the whole supply chain. The increase in foodborne disease outbreaks globally, and the USA compulsory inspection of import fruit consignments, created a need to better understand the avocado bacterial microflora and assess the prevalence of foodborne pathogens on the avocado carpoplane and fruit pulp. In this study, the Enterobacteriaceae numbers on the avocado fruit carpoplane decreased, while it increased in the pulp after washing of in season fruit. An increase in the coliform numbers on both the carpoplane and pulp following pre-processing fruit washing was observed. These results indicated that the wash water might potentially contribute to the increased microbial load. Similarly, Duvenage et al. (2016) reported an increase in bacterial populations on the pear carpoplane following drenching. In contrast, the presence of *E. coli* decreased in both the carpoplane and fruit pulp following pre-washing of the fruit, with E. *coli* isolated from 14.1% of total number of samples. A study on ready-to-eat salads, containing avocado, also reported contamination with faecal coliforms and E. coli (Castro-Rosas et al., 2013). Salmonella spp. presence accounted for 2.4% of the total number of samples. No L. monocytogenes were isolated from the avocado fruit samples, which is in agreement with the results reported by Strydom et al. (2016). They concluded that regular in-house monitoring of the listerial population in avocado processing facilities and implementing risk management strategies based on these results can prevent contamination and associated food borne disease outbreaks.

Acknowledgements

We thank Ms. L. Morey from the Agricultural Research Council, South Africa, Biometry Unit, for statistical support. This work formed part of a poster presented at the International Postharvest Pathology Symposium in the Kruger National Park, South Africa, 28 May – 3 June 2017.

REFERENCES

- ACHTMAN, M., HALE, J., MURPHY, R.A., BOYD, E.F. & PORWOLLIK, S. 2013. Population structures in the SARA and SARB reference collections of *Salmonella enterica* according to MLST, MLEE and microarray hybridization. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution* 16: 314-325.
- AFFLECK, M. 1986. The international avocado industry: A global perspective. *California Avocado Society* 70: 51-55.
- BEUCHAT, L.R. 2002. Ecological factors influencing survival and growth of human pathogens on raw fruit and vegetables. *Microbes and Infection* 4: 413-423.
- BRANDL, M.T. 2006. Human pathogens and the health threat of the phyllosphere, p. 269-285. In M.J. Bailey, A.K. Lilley, T.M. Timms-Wilson, and P.T.N. Spencer-Phillips (ed.), Microbial ecology of aerial plant surfaces. CABI, Wallingford, Oxfordshire, UK.
- BRANDL, M.T. & MANDRELL, R.E. 2002. Fitness of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Thompson in the cilantro phyllosphere. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* 68: 3614-3621.
- CASTRO-ROSAS, J., CERNA-CORTÉS, J.F., MÉNDEZ-REYES, E., LOPEZ-HERNANDEZ, D., GÓMEZ-ALDAPA, C.A. & ESTRADA-GARCIA, T. 2013. Presence of faecal coliforms, *Escherichia coli* and diarrheagenic *E. coli* pathotypes in ready-to-eat salads, from an area where crops are irrigated with untreated sewage water. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 156: 176-180.
- CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION. 2014. National Enteric Disease Surveillance: *Salmonella* Annual Report, 2012. Available:< http://www.cdc.gov/ncezid/dfwed/ pdfs/salmonella-annual-report-2012-508c.pdf> [Accessed 30/04/2016].
- CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION. 2014. Trends in Foodborne Illness in United States. Available: < http://www.cdc.gov/ foodborneburden/trends-in-foodborne-illness. html > [Accessed 24/07/2016].
- CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION. 2016. Reports of Selected Salmonella Outbreak Investigations. Available: < http://www.cdc. gov/salmonella/outbreaks.html > [Accessed 15/05/2016].
- COLLIGNON, S. & KORSTEN, L. 2010. Attachment and Colonization by *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar *Typhimurium*, and *Staphylococcus aureus* on Stone Fruit Surfaces and Survival through a Simulated Commercial Export Chain. *Journal of Food Protection* 73 (7): 1247-1256.
- DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY AND FISHERIES (DAFF). 2017. Trends in the Agri-



cultural Sector. [Internet Document] Available from URL: < http://www.econostatistics.co.za/ TrendsinSAAgriculture13.pdf, 52–54 > [Accessed 11/03/2018].

- DE JAGER, E.S. 1999. Microbial ecology of the mango flower, fruit and leaf surfaces. M.Sc. thesis. University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.
- DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY AND FISHERIES. 2015. A profile the South African Avocado Market Value Chain. Available: < http:// www.nda.agric.za/doaDev/sideMenu/Marketing/ Annual%20Publications/Commodity%20Profiles/ FRUITS%20AND%20VEGETABLES/Avocado%20 market%20value%20chain%20profile%202015. pdf> [Accessed 24/07/2016].
- DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. 2018. Situation report, 7 May 2018.
- DUVENAGE, F.J., DUVENAGE, S., DU PLESSIS, E.M., VOLSCHENK, Q. & KORSTEN, L. 2016. Viable bacterial population and persistence of foodborne pathogens on the pear carpoplane. *Journal of Science and Food Agriculture* DOI: 10.1002/jsfa.7847
- FOOD AND AGRICULTURAL ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS. 2017. Avocado production. Situation report, 7 May 2018. Department of Health. Available: < http://www.fao.org/faostat/ en/#compare > [Accessed 26/02/2017].
- GALINDO-TOVAR, M.E., OGATA-AGUILAR, N. & ARZATE-FERNANDEZ, A.M. 2008. Some aspects of avocado (*Persea americana* Mill.) diversity and domestication in Mesoamerica. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* 55: 441-450.
- GOMBA, A., CHIDAMBA, L. & KORSTEN, L. 2016. Prevalence and serovar diversity of Salmonella spp. in primary horticultural fruit production environments. *Food Control* 69: 13-16.
- INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY FOR MICROBIAL ECOLOGY. 2013. What is Microbial Ecology? Available from: http://www.isme-microbes.org/whatis [Accessed 12/01/2017].
- KIDGELL, C., REICHARD, U., WAIN, J., LINZ, B., TORPDAHL, M., DOUGAN, G. & ACHTMAN, M. 2002. *Salmonella typhi*, the causative agent of typhoid fever, is approximately 50,000 years old. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution* 2: 39-45.
- KIREZIEVA, K., LUNING, P.A., JACXSENS, L., ALLENDE, A., JOHANNESSEN, G.S., TONDO, E.C., RAJKOVIC, A., UYTTENDAELE, M. & VAN BOEKEL, M.A.J.S. 2015. Factors affecting the status of food safety management systems in the global fresh produce chain. *Food Control* 52: 85-97.

- KOTZEKIDOU, P. 2013. Survey of *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella* spp. and *Escherichia coli* 0157:H7 in raw ingredients and ready-to-eat products by commercial real-time PCR kits. *Food Microbiology* 35: 86-91.
- LINDOW, S.E. & BRANDL, M.T. 2003. Microbiology of Phyllosphere. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 69 (4): 1875-1883.
- MA, C., LI, J. & ZHANG, Q. 2016. Behaviour of Salmonella spp. on fresh-cut tropical fruit. Food Microbiology 54: 133-141.
- OMAR, K.B. & BARNARD, T.G. 2010. The occurrence of pathogenic *Escherichia coli* in South African wastewater treatment plants as detected by multiplex PCR. *Water SA Young Water Professionals Special Edition 2010*. 36 (2): 172-176.
- OTTESEN, A.R., PEÑA, A.G., WHITE, J.R., PETTENGILL, J.B., LI, C., ALLARD, S., RIDEOUT, S., ALLARD, M., HILL, T., EVANS, P., STRAIN, E., MUSSER, S., KNIGHT, R. & BROWN, E. 2013. Baseline survey of the anatomical microbial ecology of an important food plant: *Solanum lycopersicum* (tomato). *BMC Microbiology* 13: 114.
- SCALLAN, E., HOEKSTRA, R.M., ANGULO, F.J., TAUXE, R.V., WIDDOWSON, M., ROY, S.L., JONES, J.L. & GRIFFIN, P.M. 2011. Foodborne Illness Acquired in the United States – Major Pathogens. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 17 (1): 7-15.
- SMITH, A.M., GOUWS, A., HOYLAND, G., SOOKA, A. & KEDDY, K.H. 2007. Outbreaks of food-borne disease a common occurrence but rarely reported. *South African Medical Journal* 97 (12): 1272.
- STRYDOM, A., VORSTER, R., GOUWS, P.A. & WITTHUHN R.C. 2016. Successful management of *Listeria* spp. in an avocado processing facility. *Food Control* 62: 208-215.
- TELIAS, A., WHITE, J.R., PAHL, D.M., OTTESEN, A.R. & WALSH, C.S. 2011. Bacterial community diversity and variation in spray water sources and the tomato fruit surface. *BMC Microbiology* 11: 81.
- WIRTH, T., FALUSH, D., LAN, R., COLLES, F., MENSA, P., WIELER, L.H. & ACHTMAN, M. 2006. Sex and virulence in *Escherichia coli*: An evolutionary perspective. *Molecular Microbiology* 60 (5): 1136-1151.
- ZHENG, H., HU, Y., LI, Q., TAO, J., CAI, Y., WANG, Y. & JIAO, X. 2017. Subtyping Salmonella enterica serovar Derby with multilocus sequence typing (MLST) and clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPRs). Food Control 73: 474-484.







Versatility, efficiency and simplicity Cima - The Ultimate Solution!



THE OPPORTUNITY

The goal every South African farmer strives for is to effectively spray his orchards to prevent disease and insects, and also minimizing damage to the environment and surrounds. Minimizing costs and increasing profit margins at the same time is the ultimate achievement.

The Solution

The wide range of Cima sprayers in conjunction with the optional Ultrasound makes for effective spray recovery, saving chemicals and has low maintenance costs.

· Increase hectares effectively sprayed per hour

Venturi atomizing of the mixture is created by air shear and creates increased spray recovery efficiency. Less refill time required, resulting in more hectares sprayed effectively per hour.

Save on capital investment

Save money with one base unit and easily interchangeable spray heads for various applications.

Low Maintenance Cost

Low pressure system with venturi atomization with virtually zero maintenance requirements.

Easy Calibration

Accurate and fast calibration with a calibration disc and flow divider system that saves time & money.





marketing@rovicleers.co.za

Call 021 907 1700 today for your Cima Sprayer