Commercial Avocado Rootstock Evaluation at Merensky Technological Services: Further Progress in 1997

D.U. Roe, T.M. Morudu & J.S. Köhne

Merensky Technological Services, P O Box 14, Duivelskloof 0835

ABSTRACT

The avocado clonal rootstocks D9, Thomas, Barr Duke, Dusa and Merensky were compared to Duke 7 for the cultivar Hass at Westfalia Estate. At one site, affected by drought until 1995, production of Hass on Thomas (5.8 t/ha cumulative yield) was significantly less than on Duke 7 (16.8 t/ha cumulative). At another site, less severely affected by drought, Hass on Thomas (17.1 t/ha cumulative) did not have significantly different production when compared to Duke 7 as a rootstock for Hass. Hass on both Merensky (renamed from Latas) and Dusa rootstocks have out-yielded Hass on Duke 7 for two seasons by at least 29%. Although it is still early in the commercial evaluation of these rootstocks, promising *Phytophthora* tolerance results have led to an early release of Merensky to local and overseas institutions for testing purposes. Colin V-33 is being tested as an interstock between Duke 7 rootstock and Hass scion, but the commercial block has yet to bear fruit.

COMMERCIAL EVALUATION OF IMPORTED AND LOCALLY SELECTED ROOTSTOCKS FOR HASS

Three avocado rootstocks: Thomas, Barr Duke and D9 with Hass as scion, have been compared to Hass on Duke 7, on a semi-commercial scale at Westfalia Estate, in orchards established from 1989 to 1991, at three sites (total 220 trees per rootstock).

During the 1996 season, the second high-rainfall year since the drought, D9 outyielded Duke 7 at two out of three sites (Roe *et al*, 1997) and this trend was repeated in 1997 (table 1). One of the sites failed to crop in 1997 and was therefore left out of the current year's analysis. However, D9 is still proving itself as a high yielding rootstock at Evenrond Farm (table 1). At Westfalia Farm, D9 is not as convincing cf. Duke 7.

As was the case in previous years (Roe *et al.*, 1995; 1996) Hass on Thomas has produced acceptable yields at only one site (table 1) and this rootstock cannot be recommended for all Westfalia conditions. The site where it did well was not as severely affected by drought as the other sites, and it can be speculated that Thomas may be more sensitive to stress conditions than Duke 7.

Hass on the two locally selected clonal rootstocks Merensky (renamed from Latas) and Dusa were planted in 1993 for commercial evaluation in comparison to Hass on Duke 7. The second crop was obtained in 1997 (table 2). Hass yields on both of the local selections were greater than on Duke 7 rootstock, and this has led to the early release

of Merensky rootstock for testing purposes, both nationally and internationally. Merensky rootstock also displayed excellent *Phytophthora* tolerance when exposed to virulent *Phytophthora cinnamomi* in a mistbed trial (Duvenhage, unpubl. data, 1998) and this resulted in it being chosen for early release for testing.

Duke 7 is still the recommended rootstock for South African Hass orchards, but D9 and Merensky are future possible alternative rootstocks.

Table 2 Hass avoca	. Production da do on locally se compared to	ta (t ha ⁻¹) from commercia elected clonal rootstocks, Duke 7, at Westfalia Esta	al plantings of established 1993, ate.	
Rootstock	1996	Yield (t ha ⁻¹) 1997	i ⁻¹) Cumulative	
Duke 7	1.52	2.94	4.46b	
Dusa	3.77	3.44	7.24a	
Merensky	2.55	3.81	6.36a	

LOW VIGOUR INTERSTOCKS

In order to become more efficient in our orchards, tree size control is becoming of paramount importance in the deep red, well-drained soils of the South African summer rainfall areas. The ultimate long-term solution is to have genetic low vigour.

Colin V-33, a Mexican low vigour selection which has been used successfully as a dwarfing interstock for Fuerte trees in Mexico (Barrientos Priego *et al.*, 1987) is being evaluated as an interstock between Duke 7 rootstock and Hass scion, and is being compared to Hass on Duke 7. A semi-commercial planting of Hass/Colin V-33/Duke 7 was established in 1995 and the first crop is expected during 1998.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding of these projects is jointly by the HM foundation and SAAGA. The management input of Koos Coetzee, Koos van Rensburg, Philip Mofokeng and Lucas McLean, and the technical assistance of Terrence Mookamedi, Malan Selowa and Oscar Shiburi are gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

BARRIENTOS PRIEGO, A., LOPEZ UMINEZ, A. & SANCHEZ COLIN, S. 1987. Effect of cv Colin V-33 as interstock on avocado (*Persea Americana* Mill) growth, cv Fuerte. *South African Avocado Growers' Association Yearbook* 10: 62 - 64.

DUVENHAGE, J.A. Plant Pathologist, Merensky Technological Services, P O Box 14, Duivelskloof 0835. Unpublished data, 1998.

ROE, D.J., CONRADffi, W. & KÖHNE, J.S. 1995. Progress with rootstock research at Merensky Technological Services. *South African Avocado Growers' Association*

Yearbook 18: 10 – 11.

- ROE, D.J., KREMER-KÖHNE, S. & KÖHNE, J.S. 1996. A progress report of avocado rootstock research at Merensky Technological Services. *South African Avocado Growers' Association Yearbook* 19: 26 27.
- ROE, D.J., KREMER-KÖHNE, S. & KÖHNE, J.S. 1997. Avocado rootstock and cultivar evaluation at Merensky Technological Services: a progress report. *South African Avocado Growers' Association Yearbook* 20: 36 38.

Table 1. Tree size data and yields (t ha-1) from commercial plantings of Hass avocado on different rootstocks at three localities at Westfalia Estate.

		Rootstock					LSD	Level of
		Duke 7	D9	Barr Duke	Thomas	G755		Significance
A CONTRACTOR OF STREET		Zeno	lelingshoek far	m (Planted 198	9, affected by soi	il problems		
Field Stem (tha-1) circumfer- ence (cm)	1992 1994 1995 1996 1992 1996 1997 Cumulative	32.9 b1 43.3a 50.4a 54.9a 0.25 2.9bc No 3.2bc	27.9c 39.6b 45.4c 50.1b 0.03 10.0a Crop 10.03a	28.8c 39.9b 45.4c 49.4b 0.01 5.0b 	31.8b 42.8a 48.7b 53.5a 0.37 1.1c - 1.47c	37.2a - - - - - - - - -	1.2 1.49 1.66 1.84 - 3.2 - 3.3	0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01
		Evenroi	nd Farm (plant	ed 1991; severe	ly affected by dr	ought 1992-95		
Yield Stem (t ha ⁻¹) circum- ference	E 1993 1994 1995 1996 1994 1995 1996 1997 Cumulative	(1.0) (6.8) (1.7) (7.4) (16.8)	20.8 30.4b 39.9 45.3 2.8a 5.2a 4.5a 8.6a 21.1a	21.3 31.6a 39.1 44.7 2.4b 2.5b 2.0b 8.6a 16.4b	21.4 32.2a 39.9 45.6 2.7ab 0.04c 0.6c 2.7b 5.8c		NS ² 1.12 NS 0.32 1.10 1.19 2.54 3.87	0.01 0.05 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01
		Westf	alia Farm (plar	ited 1991; not se	everely affected l	by drought)		
Stem circum- ference	E 1995 1996	30.5a 40.9a	24.3b 32.0c	28.5a 36.8b	30.0a 39.3ab		3.29 4.04	0.01 0.01
Yield (t ha ^{-t})	1995 1996 1997 Cumulative	6.9a 4.5a 9.3a 20.5a	2.9c 4.4a 5.4b 12.2c	3.4c 4.4a 6.4ab 14.2bc	4.9b 5.1a 7.1ab 17.1ab		1.53 1.62 2.82 3.62	0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05

¹ Means in each row (year) followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to F test.

²NS = Non-significant

Data in parenthesis are from 60 Hass/Duke 7 trees planted at the same time as, in similar soils as, and within 300m

of the rootstock trial at Evenrond Farm; no statistical comparison with Duke 7 was done.