South African Avocado Growers' Association Yearbook 1985. 8:59-60

# SUNBLOTCH-ASSOCIATED REDUCTION IN FRUIT YIELD IN BOTH SYMPTOMATIC AND SYMPTOMLESS CARRIER TREES

#### JV DA GRACA

DEPT. OF MICROBIOLOGY AND PLANT PATHOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF NATAL, PIETERMARITZBURG

# **OPSOMMING**

Tydens 'n tydperk van 3 jaar het avokadosonvlek die oes van volwasse Fuerte borne met 14% verminder en daarbenewens was 49,7% van die vrugte ondergraads. 'n Studie van besmette simptomlose-draer Edranol borne oor een seisoen toon 'n oesverlies van 82%.

#### SUMMARY

Over a 3-year period, avocado sunblotch was found to reduce the yield of symptomatic mature Fuerte trees by 14% and in addition 49,7% of the diseased fruit was undergrade. A single season study on infected symptomless carrier Edranol trees revealed an 82% yield loss.

#### INTRODUCTION

In an initial study on the effects of avocado sunblotch on fruit yield in Fuerte, we found that the yield was reduced by 27,3%, and that 52,7% of the diseased trees' fruit was undergrade (da Graca *et al.*, 1983). The effects of sunblotch on these trees have since been followed for two subsequent seasons.

Another similar investigation was conducted on sunblotch-infected Edranol trees which have become completely symptomless through recovery growth.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

All the fruit from the same five sunblotch-infected and five healthy in Fuerte trees at Baynesfield, Natal used in 1982 were harvested 1983 and again in 1984. The fruit was graded into first, second and undergrade, and the numbers of each recorded.

For the symptomless carrier investigation, five 18-year old healthy Edranol trees, and five sunblotch-infected trees (in the same orchard at Mataffin, Eastern Transvaal) where recovery growth branches have completely taken over from symptomatic branches were selected, and all the 1984 fruit was harvested off each tree and counted. For practical reasons the fruit was not graded.

# RESULTS

The number of Fuerte fruit from the healthy and sunblotch-infected trees in each category for the past three years are shown in Table 1. While the total number of fruit produced over the 3 .yrs by the five heal thy trees was just over 1000 (i.e. 14%) more than the diseased, only 2,5% of the healthy fruit was undergrade, compared with 49,7% of the fruit from the infected trees. The healthy trees yielded four times as much first grade fruit as the infected trees

Table 2 shows the number of fruit from the Edranol trees. The five healthy trees produced over five and a half times the amount of fruit on the recovery growth trees. This represents a yield reduction of 82%.

#### DISCUSSION

The results obtained with the Fuerte trees clearly show that sunblotch can cause significant yield losses, and a substantial increase in undergrade fruit. In Natal where Fuerte matures later than in the Transvaal, undergrade fruit can still be sold, but as more trees come into production in this province it will become increasingly difficult to market such fruit profitably. The removal of infected trees will benefit both the individual grower and the industry.

The tremendous difference in yield between the healthy Edranol trees and the recovery growth trees shows that the term 'symptomless' is misleading drastic yield reduction is a disease symptom. The results confirm the observations of Wallace (1958) and Bergh (1974) that symptomless carriers are poor bearers. An earlier study of Edranol fruit from the same orchard at Mataffin showed that recovery growth fruit is considerably larger than healthy fruit (da Graca & van Vuuren, 1977). A recent suggestion that symptomless carrier or recovery growth material can still be used for propagation (Moll *et al.*, 1984) should therefore not be followed in the light of the results obtained in this study.

No. of fruit				
Tree	1st grade 1982 1983 1984	2nd grade 1982 1983 1984	Undergrade 1982 1983 1984	TOTAL
Healthy 1 Healthy 2 Healthy 3 Healthy 4 Healthy 5	624         232         81           264         134         332           660         264         18           362         183         896           550         13         389	128 83 53 38 16 92 148 338 16 96 65 385 306 0 182	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
	2460 826 1716 5002 (70,2%)	716 502 728 1946 (27,3%)	0 80 97 177 (2,5%)	7125
Infected 1 Infected 2 Infected 3 Infected 4 Infected 5	348         32         74           216         182         2           150         0         20           74         45         21           18         66         18	224 99 256 40,677 0 0 76 17 22 160 79 0 128 30	104 22 873 42 147 0 444 48 197 450 157 136 178 136 108	
	806 325 135 1266 (20,7%)	<u>286 1140 382</u> 1808 (29,6%)	<u>1218 510 1314</u> 3042 (49,7%)	6116

TABLE 1. The effect of avocado sunblotch on the yield and grade of Fuerte fruit over a three year period.

	No. of fruit		
Tree No.	Healthy Trees	Infected Trees	
1	551	115	
2	880	0	
3	705	103	
4	505	280	
5	412	151	
Total	3053	549	

 TABLE 2. The effect of avocado sunblotch in symptomless carrier

 Edranol trees on fruit yield.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank the Antel family and H.L. Hall and Sons for their co-operation.

# REFERENCES

BERGH, BO 1974. Avocado research in Israel. Calif. Avocado Soc. Yrbk. 1974-75 58: 103 - 126

- DA GRACA, JV, MASON, TE & ANTEL, HJ 1983. Effect of avocado sunblotch disease on fruit yield. S. Afr. Avocado Growers' Assoc. Yrbk. 6: 86 87
- DA GRACA, JV & VAN VUUREN, SP 1977. Effects of avocado sunblotch disease on the mass, size and oil content of the fruit. *Citrus & Subtrop. Fruit J.* No. 526: 10 11
- MOLL, JN., HUSSEY, KM & VAN VUUREN, SP 1984. Sunblotch indexing for the plant improvement scheme. S. Afr. Avocado Growers' Assoc. Yrbk. 7 :24
- WALLACE, JM 1958. The sun-blotch disease of avocado. J. Rio Grande Valley Hort. Soc. 12: 69 74