California Agriculture. 1972. 26(7):12-14.

DRIP IRRIGATION EXPERIMENTS WITH AVOCADOS IN SAN DIEGO COUNTY

C. D. GUSTAFSON, A. W. MARSH, R. L. BRANSON and STERLING DAVIS



One of the blocks of Hass avocados being irrigated with the drip irrigation system. Also visible in photo is the installed, but as yet unused sprinkler irrigation system available if the drip irrigation system should fail.

A new approach to irrigation, called drip irrigation, was developed in Israel in recent years. Under the leadership of Professor Dan Goldberg, Head, Department of Irrigation, Hebrew University, this system has now been tested for 10 years and developed to its present stage. About 10,000 acres of commercially grown vegetables, field crops, and fruit trees are being irrigated by drip irrigation in that country today.

Because of the good results with drip irrigation in Israel, an irrigation project was initiated on avocados in San Diego County. The system was designed by an Israeli engineer, Dr. Baruch Gornat, who was visiting and studying in California. It was built in Israel to Dr. Gornat's design and was imported into this country and installed in June, 1970, on an avocado orchard located near Bonsall in northern San Diego County.

The purpose of the test is to compare drip irrigation with the sprinkler method now commonly used in avocado orchards. Evaluation of the two methods will include: (1) A comparison of the growth and productivity; (2) A study to determine if satisfactory soil salinity for avocado growth can be maintained under drip irrigation; (3) A comparison of total annual costs for drip and sprinkler irrigation; (4) A study to determine if avocado trees are more, or less, susceptible to avocado root rot (Phytophthora cinnamomi) under drip irrigation; (5) A study to determine if the drip irrigation equipment will perform properly and will satisfactorily wet the soils in which these avocados are growing.

The soil is a complex of Vista sandy loam soil and Fallbrook fine sandy loam with scattered rock outcroppings. The orchard is equipped with a permanent P.V.C. irrigation system for sprinkling with a riser to each tree. The trees were planted on June 1, 1970, and consisted of two varieties, Hass and Reed.

HASS [†]			Drip	Sprinkled
Height			50.8	47.0**
Width			23.9	22,5*
Trunk circumference			3.1	3.0NS
ab	ove bud			
REED [†]				
Height			39.6	40.6NS
Width			20.8	21.4NS
Trunk circumference			2.6	2.7NS
abo	ove bud			
*Significant	at 5% level			
**Significant	at 1% level			
tAll measure	ements in inches			
TABLE 2. AVE	ERAGE SOIL SAL	INITY IN RO	OTZONE, NOV	'EMBER, 197
	ERAGE SOIL SAL Sprinkler		1	'EMBER, 197 rigation
TABLE 2. AVI			Drip Ir	
	Sprinkler	Irrigation	Drip Ir	rigation Chloride
Depth	Sprinkler ECe x 103	Irrigation Chloride	Drip Ir ECe x 10 ³	rigation Chloride
Depth inches 0 - 6 6 - 12	Sprinkler ECe x 10 ³ mmhos/cm 1.6 1.4	Irrigation Chloride me/1.	Drip Ir ECe x 10 ³ mmhos/cm	rigation Chloride me/1.
Depth inches 0 - 6	Sprinkler ECe x 10 ³ mmhos/cm 1.6	Irrigation Chloride me/1. 4.5	Drip Ir ECe x 10 ³ mmhos/cm 3.0	rigation Chloride me/1. 6.1
Depth inches 0 - 6 6 - 12	Sprinkler ECe x 10 ³ mmhos/cm 1.6 1.4	Irrigation Chloride me/1. 4.5 3.6	Drip Ir ECe x 10 ³ mmhos/cm 3.0 1.6	rigation Chloride me/1. 6.1 3.1
Depth inches 0 - 6 6 - 12	Sprinkler ECe x 10 ³ mmhos/cm 1.6 1.4	Irrigation Chloride me/1. 4.5 3.6	Drip Ir ECe x 10 ³ mmhos/cm 3.0 1.6	rigation Chloride me/1. 6.1 3.1
Depth inches 0 - 6 6 - 12 12 - 24	Sprinkler ECe x 10 ³ mmhos/cm 1.6 1.4	Irrigation Chloride me/1. 4.5 3.6 3.6	Drip Ir ECe x 10 ³ mmhos/cm 3.0 1.6 1.3	rigation Chloride me/1. 6.1 3.1 2.6
Depth inches 0 - 6 6 - 12 12 - 24 TABLE 3. A	Sprinkler ECe x 10 ³ mmhos/cm 1.6 1.4 1.4	Irrigation Chloride me/1. 4.5 3.6 3.6 ALINITY IN I	Drip Ir ECe x 10 ³ mmhos/cm 3.0 1.6 1.3	rigation Chloride me/1. 6.1 3.1 2.6 MARCH, 1971
Depth inches 0 - 6 6 - 12 12 - 24	Sprinkler ECe x 10 ³ mmhos/cm 1.6 1.4 1.4 VERAGE SOIL S	Irrigation Chloride me/1. 4.5 3.6 3.6 ALINITY IN I	Drip Ir ECe x 10 ³ mmhos/cm 3.0 1.6 1.3	rigation Chloride me/1. 6.1 3.1 2.6 MARCH, 1971 igation
Depth inches 0 - 6 6 - 12 12 - 24 TABLE 3. A	Sprinkler ECe x 10 ³ mmhos/cm 1.6 1.4 1.4 1.4 VERAGE SOIL S. Sprinkler I	Irrigation Chloride me/1. 4.5 3.6 3.6 ALINITY IN I	Drip Ir ECe x 10 ³ mmhos/cm 3.0 1.6 1.3 ROOTZONE, M Drip Irr	rigation Chloride me/1. 6.1 3.1 2.6 MARCH, 1971 igation
Depth inches 0 - 6 6 - 12 12 - 24 TABLE 3. A Depth	Sprinkler ECe x 10 ³ mmhos/cm 1.6 1.4 1.4 VERAGE SOIL S. Sprinkler I EC x 10 ³	Irrigation Chloride me/1. 4.5 3.6 3.6 ALINITY IN 1 Irrigation Chloride	Drip Ir ECe x 10 ³ mmhos/cm 3.0 1.6 1.3 ROOTZONE, M Drip Irr ECe x 10 ³	rigation Chloride me/1. 6.1 3.1 2.6 MARCH, 1971 igation Chloride
Depth inches 0 - 6 6 - 12 12 - 24 TABLE 3. A Depth inches	Sprinkler ECe x 10 ³ mmhos/cm 1.6 1.4 1.4 VERAGE SOIL S. Sprinkler I EC x 10 ³ mmhos/cm	Irrigation Chloride me/1. 4.5 3.6 3.6 ALINITY IN 1 Irrigation Chloride me/1.	Drip Ir ECe x 10 ³ mmhos/cm 3.0 1.6 1.3 ROOTZONE, M Drip Irr ECe x 10 ³ mmhos/cm	rigation Chloride me/1. 6.1 3.1 2.6 MARCH, 1971 igation Chloride me/1.



Tensionmeter location is shown with respect to the tree and the drip irrigation line.

Test plot

The five-acre test plot was divided into eight blocks, four under drip irrigation and four under sprinklers. The two varieties, Hass and Reed, were evenly divided in each block. The 673 trees were planted 15 x 20 ft in blocks. Three hundred and forty nine trees were drip irrigated and 324 were sprinkled. Two tensiometer stations, each having instruments at 12 and 24-inch depths, were placed within each block. Initially the 12-

inch instruments were inserted into the balls of the newly planted trees.

Water head showing fertilizer injector tank, various control valves, pressure regulating valve, water meter, and time clock control device for control of the drip irrigation system



The drip irrigation system includes a fertilizer tank, filter, water meter, irrigation controller, pressure regulator, pressure gauges, flexible hose for sub mains and laterals, and the emitters. The system operates at 15 pounds per square inch and each emitter discharges approximately one gallon per hour. Three emitters are placed at each tree—one near the trunk and the other two on either side 2 ft. away from the trunk.

Sprinkler irrigation, except in winter, has been scheduled once each week, a pattern typical for avocados in this area. The amount of water applied has varied seasonally based on weather, tensiometer readings, and judgment. Fixed jet sprinklers, one per tree each with a discharge rate of 0.8 gallon per minute were operated 30 to 75 minutes per week depending on the season. This has provided 24 to 60 gallons per week or 3.5 to 8.5 gallons per day (GPD) to each tree. Drip irrigation started on a weekly basis, 4 hours each week. In October 1970, an automatic controller was provided by Toro Manufacturing Company of Riverside that permitted the more frequent irrigation necessary for the drip method. Except during the winter rains, the drip system was operated on alternate days for 60 minutes until April, 1971. During the summer of 1971 the drip system operated each night for periods ranging from 42 to 60 minutes, guided by tensiometer readings. Amounts applied during the summer ranged from about 1.5 GPD per tree in 1970; to 3 GPD in 1971.

Fertilization was done each week with the drippers. Urea fertilizer was placed in the fertilizer tank and applied through the irrigation water. The trees under sprinklers receive urea twice a month by hand application. The total amount of fertilizer applied was the same for all trees.

Tree performance has been observed and measured during the experiment. Tree measurements after one year are shown in table 1. Hass trees responded favorably to drip irrigation for height and width. Reed trees show no significant difference between the treatments for any measurement; Hass trees show none for trunk girth.

Soil salinity

Soil salinity was first determined when the orchard was established in June, 1970. Samples were taken down to 2 ft.—maximum soil depth in general—at two sites in each of the eight blocks. In no case did the soluble salts, as measured by electrical conductivity of the saturation extract and chloride, exceed established safe levels for avocados on Mexican rootstock—i.e. 2 mmhos and 5 me./l. respectively. Thus, the potential salinity hazard in the experimental orchard was related to the possible accumulation of salts from the irrigation water (which has marginal levels of total soluble salts and chloride for avocados). The irrigation water quality factors were: EC x 10^3 , 1.2 millimhos; SAR, 2.6; Cl, 2.9 me./l.; and B, 0.2 ppm.

Soil salinity was determined again in November, 1970, after the first irrigation season and before the winter rains. Six sites were established for monitoring salinity in the root zone periodically. Three sites were in sprinkler irrigated blocks and three were in drip irrigated blocks. Samples were taken at the trees' drip line; four cores from around each tree were composited for each depth. Results of the analyses of the November samples for total soluble salts and chloride are given in table 2.

Salinity values for all depths under the sprinkler-irrigated trees were still within the safe limits previously described. Under drip irrigated trees, some accumulation of soluble salts beyond the recommended limits occurred but only in the 0 to 6 inch depth, for which the values were 3 mmhos (total soluble salts and 8 me. Cl/l.

In March, 1971, after the winter rains, soil salinity was determined again, and the results are given in table 3. Winter rains were effective in leaching any accumulated salt from the soil.

Results of the monitoring program during the first year indicate that control of soil salinity in the root zone was adequate for both sprinkler and drip irrigated trees. The evaluation of salinity control in successive years will also include leaf analysis for chloride.

Mechanical performance of the irrigation system has been checked frequently. Filters are flushed weekly and reveal the absolute need for good filtration. Emitters have maintained a steady design flow rate with no plugging. Initially their rate varied as a function of elevation differences. Installation of pressure regulators corrected this. Line drainage after each irrigation was a source of variation in this hilly terrain yet to be corrected. Soil wetting at a 2 to 4-ft. radius from the emitters was observed by excavation, with weed growth correspondingly limited.

C. D. Gustafson is Farm Advisor, San Diego County. A. W. Marsh is Irrigation and Soils Specialist, and R. L. Branson is Soils and Water Specialist Agricultural Extension

Service, University of California Riverside. Sterling Davis is Agricultural Engineer, Agricultural Research Service, USDA, Riverside.

The Trendel Brothers permitted the project to be conducted on their property; Bruce Brown purchased the Israeli drip system and donated it to the project; Bill Johnson managed the orchard; Sheldon Pooley, Irrometer Company, donated 32 tensiometers; and the Toro Manufacturing Company, Riverside, donated the automation unit.