

AUSTRALASIA



Session Six Postharvest quality, outturn

New Zealand and Australia Avocado Grower's Conference'05 20-22 September 2005 Tauranga, New Zealand



Is Ripening and Post Harvest Quality Affected by Fruit Water Status?

Jonathan Dixon, Toni Elmsly, Fiona Fields, Derek Smith, Henry Pak and Jonathan Cutting

> Avocado Industry Council Ltd FRST contract no. AVIX0201



Introduction

- The incidence and severity of disorders increases when pick to pack times exceed 48 hours
- Observed in library trays
- •Stem end rot and body rot (brown patches) are the main disorders affected



Quality and Delays Before Storage





Introduction

The amount of water loss after harvest may be inducing earlier ripening because:

•High water loss rates - faster ripening (Bower and Cutting, 1988; Lallu et al, 2002, 2003, 2004)

•Low water loss rates – slower ripening (Dixon et al, 2003, 2004)



Ripening time and rots





Introduction

Conducted a series of experiments looking at quality in relation to ripening and water loss

We manipulated ripening by:

Increasing water loss after harvest

•Decreasing water loss after harvest

•Adding water to the fruit (imbibing) at different physiological stages



Water loss after harvest







Instead of removing water what happens if we add water to the fruit?

Do we get the opposite results to water loss?





To see if we could slow down ripening water was imbibed into freshly harvested fruit





Ripeness stages

	Ripening time (days)				
Stage	Inhibition	Pre- climacteric	Climacteric		
Imbibed	10.6a	9.7a	11.7a		
Non-imbibed	9.4b	10.3b	10.2b		
	Sound fruit (%, 5% threshold)				
Stage	Inhibition	Pre- climacteric	Climacteric		
Imbibed	79.3	93.3	90.0		
Non-imbibed	91.7	87.3	96.2		



Imbibing

What else does the amount of water imbibed tell us?

Amount of water imbibed may measure fruit water potential

- how readily water moves into the fruit
- indicate fruit water status
- may explain some of the variation in quality disorders





Factors that affect fruit water status may be:IrrigationRainfall



Irrigated vs Non Irrigated fruit





Irrigated vs Non-Irrigated Fruit

Time of	Imbibed		Non-imbibed			
Day	Irrigated	Non-irrigated	Irrigated	Non-irrigated		
Ripening time (days)						
Mid PM	4.4	4.1	4.7	3.6		
Incidence of sound fruit (%, 5% threshold)						
Mid PM	55.9	71.7	75	95		



Effect of rain

Imbibed





Conclusions

- The loss in quality with delays before packing is more because the fruit increase in ripeness rather than due to weight loss
- The fruit water status at harvest affects ripening which influences the amount of ripe rots
- This means what happens to the fruit before harvest and how the fruit are handled after harvest and by the packer affects final fruit quality



Acknowledgements

Thanks to the following avocado growers for fruit for the various trials:

Hugh Moore Graham Body Dan & Rose Cook Kevin Holley Drew Skowrup Kim Crocker Debbie Fleming





Library Trays – a powerful tool in fruit quality management

Dr Henry Pak Dr Jonathan Dixon Dr Jonathan Cutting

Avocado Industry Council



Introduction

Cornerstone of quality improvement programme – export focus Feedback on fruit quality → Improve quality on-orchard

- Runs in parallel with out-turn monitoring
- Initially best practice, now compulsory
- Over several seasons has successfully identified quality issues and contributing factors



Methods

~ 20 fruit sample after grading Each PPIN, every 2nd picking round Coolstored 28 days 5 °C 1st assessment = external quality on removal from coolstorage Ripened at 20 °C • 2nd assessment = internal quality - at eating ripe

Entered into central database



Number of fruit sampled

2001/2 2002/3 2003/4 2004/5 30,023 22,192 23,882 <u>25,873</u> 101,970



Main quality disorders



Brown patches





Fuzzy patches











Seasonal trends





Flesh temperature audits



Before 1st Dec — After 1st Dec





Fruit Age





Pick to Pack Time



Pick to Pack Time





Wet Fruit



influence of rain on stem-end rots





Regional comparisons







Packer Reports



Shed averages – incidence brown patches





Grower comparisons





Comparisons based on Unsound Fruit



For assistance with interpretation of this report please refer to the Avocado Industry Council website (www.nzavocado.co.nz)



Conclusions

- Provides framework for quality improvement - feedback
- Successfully identified quality issues and causal factors over several seasons
- Allows remedial action within season

